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## Introduction

Polyhydroxylated amino acids are an important class of natural products (Figure 1). Polyoxamic acid is part of many polyoxines, which are potent antifungal agents. ${ }^{1}$ Polyhydroxylated amino acids are also substructures of the sphingofungines, another family of antifungal compounds. ${ }^{2}$ Among them, $\alpha$-alkylated amino acids are important as well. Myriocin, ${ }^{3}$ for example, shows very high immunosuppressive activity. In addition, polyhydroxylated amino acids are valuable tools in the design of polyhydroxylated piperidine alkaloids. ${ }^{2 b, 4}$

For some time, our group has been interested in reactions of metal-chelated enolates of N -protected amino acid esters. ${ }^{5}$ Depending on the metal salt used, these chelated enolates show higher stability than the corresponding lithium enolates. In addition, because of the fixed enolate geometry, their reactions are moreselective than those of the lithium enolates. Besides chelate enolate Claisen rearrangements, aldol reactions give especially good results. ${ }^{6}$ A very high simple diastereoselectivity of $>95 \%$ is obtained in the reactions of N -sulfonylated amino acid esters in the presence of 2.5 equiv of $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$, with both aliphatic as well as aromatic aldehydes. ${ }^{7}$ This protocol can be applied to various types of amino acids, and the selectivities observed are nearly independent of the sulfonyl protecting group used. In cases where the tosyl group is difficult to remove, the
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Figure 1. Naturally occurring polyhydroxylated amino acids.


Figure 2. Chiral aldehydes used in asymmetric aldol reactions.
easier to cleave 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethanesulfonyl (SES) protecting group, developed by Weinreb et al., ${ }^{8}$ can be used. ${ }^{7}$

## Results and Discussion

Herein, we present the results of an asymmetric version of this reaction. ${ }^{9}$ In addition to auxiliary controlled reactions, especially the use of chiral aldehydes is suitable for this purpose. ${ }^{10}$ In aldol reactions of chiral $\alpha$-alkoxy or $\alpha$-siloxy aldehydes (Figure 2), an induction on the newly formed chiral center in general is observed, and this chirality transfer should be used in the synthesis of optically active polyhydroxylated amino acids.

Deprotonation of N -protected alanine esters ( $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ ) with LDA at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequent addition of metal salts presumably results in the formation of a chelated enolate (Scheme 1), which can be trapped with a chiral al dehyde (1-4).

Depending on the metal salt used, two aldol products, out of the four possible stereoisomers, were formed preferentially (Table 1). The best results by far were obtained with $>2$ equiv of tin chloride (Table 1, entries 3, 4, 6, and 7). In comparison to reactions with less than 2 equiv of metal salt (Table 1, entries 2 and 5), the selectivity in the aldol addition is dramatically increased. This is, in general, true for the simple diastereoselectivity (relation a/b) and the induced diastereoselectivity (ds) of the aldol products $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ as well. This is in good agreement with observations made earlier. ${ }^{7}$ In comparison, other metal salts such as zinc chloride react less selectively, and the four stereoisomers were formed in comparable amounts. Therefore, the zinc enolates were used to obtain a mixture of all possible isomers for analytical purposes. ${ }^{11}$ The simple diastereoselectivities observed were nearly independent of the aldehyde employed in the aldol reaction, and the highly oxygenated

Table 1. Aldol Reactions of Metal Enolates of Ts-alanine Esters 5 and $\mathbf{6}$ with the Aldehydes 1-4

| entry | aldehyde | ester | $\mathrm{MX}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (equiv) | product | a:b | $\mathbf{a}(\%$ ds) | $\mathbf{b}$ (\% ds) | yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(2.5)$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $55: 45$ | 58 | 15 |  |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(1.2)$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $59: 41$ | 66 | 90 |  |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(2.5)$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $81: 19$ | 95 | 74 | 88 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(2.5)$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $89: 11$ | $>99$ | 82 | 85 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(1.2)$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $67: 33$ | 76 | $>99$ |  |
| 6 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(2.5)$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $78: 22$ | 95 | $>99$ | 90 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(2.5)$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $80: 20$ | 94 | $>99$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ |  |

a Based on HPLC of the crude reaction mixture.
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aldehydes $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ in particular showed excellent induced selectivities.

Therefore, and with respect to the good yields obtained, this procedure allows a straightforward and highly stereoselective synthesis of $\alpha$-alkylated polyhydroxylated amino acids. ${ }^{12}$ These amino acids can also be used for the construction of cyclic oxygenated amino acids such as prolines or pipecolinic acid, interesting classes of potential glycosidase inhibitors. ${ }^{9}$ This was one reason we decided to convert the aldol product 10 into the corresponding pipecolinic acid derivative. The other reason was the necessity to confirm the configuration of our products and to determine the selectivities observed. For this purpose, the pipecolinic acid derivatives are especially suitable. Due to the chair conformation, it should be relatively easy to reliably determine the orientation of the substituents by NMR.

Starting from the crude aldol product 9, diastereomerically pure 9 a could be obtained by a single crystallization step. The pure minor diastereomer $\mathbf{9 b}$ could be obtained from the mother liquid by flash chromatography. After cleavage of the benzyl ether, the alcohol 11a obtained was first converted into the corresponding mesylate. ${ }^{2 b}$ Unfortunately, this derivative was not a suitable precursor for the required cyclization. Therefore, the alcohols 11a and 11b were subjected directly to the Mitsunobu
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Scheme 3

reaction, ${ }^{13}$ which gave the tosylated ${ }^{14}$ pipecolinic acid derivatives 12a and 12b, respectively, in good to excellent yields (Scheme 2). ${ }^{15}$

These cyclic derivatives could al so be used to determine the configuration of the two new stereogenic centers formed in the aldol reaction. Scheme 3 shows the coupling constants (in Hz ) and the NOEs observed.
The configuration of the $\beta$-hydroxy group was confirmed from the coupling constant of the hydrogen at C3 to the adjacent proton at C4. Because of the ketal moiety, this proton has to be oriented in an axial position. Coupling constants of 2.3 and 2.6 Hz , respectively, are typical for protons in an axial/equatorial orientation. Therefore, the hydroxy groups in 12a and 12b as well are oriented in an axial position. This clearly indicates that the induced diastereoselectivity in the aldol reaction was excellent and that both diastereomers obtained have different configurations at the $\alpha$-position (C2) (simple diastereomers). This was also confirmed by NOE experiments.


Figure 3. Crystal structure of pipecolic acid derivative 12a.
Table 2. Bond Angles of Nitrogen and Its NeighborIng Atoms in Pipecolic Acid Derivative 12a

|  | bond angle (deg) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $118.9(0.1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $121.1(0.1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $113.5(0.1)$ |

Irradiation into the $\alpha$-methyl group of 12a gave two NOEs, one to the vicinal equatorial $\beta-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{C} 3)$ and the second to an aromatic proton in the ortho position of the tosyl group. In the same experiment, 12b showed three NOEs. Interactions are observed to the axially oriented protons at C4 and C6 and to the $\beta$ hydrogen as well. This can be explained by an axial orientation of the methyl group in 12b, while in 12a the methyl group is orientated in the equatorial position.

The configuration of this pipecolinic acid derivative (12a) could also be confirmed by X-ray structure analysis (Figure 3). ${ }^{16}$ The pseudo planar environment of the nitrogen atom (Table 2) leads to a torsion angle between the sulfonyl group and the methyl substituent at C2 of $45^{\circ}$. The expectable interactions between these two groups results in a bond angle at nitrogen of approximately $121^{\circ}$. Similar interactions obviously also occur in solution, as indicated by a strong NOE between the $\alpha$-methyl substituent and the aromatic protons of the tosyl group.

These results allow the determination of the 2,3-anti-3,4-anti configuration for the major aldol product 9 a and the 2,3-syn-3,4-anti configuration for the minor diastereomer 9b. The 2,3-anti-3,4-anti diastereomer as the major aldol product is in accordance with the FelkinAnh model. ${ }^{17}$ The configuration of the other aldol products was confirmed by comparison of the corresponding NMR spectra. Comparable shifts for the signals of the protons and the substituents at the $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-positions were observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (Table 3). The same trends were also observed in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra.

[^2]Table 3. Selected ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Signals (ppm) of Aldol Products 7-10

|  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a | b | a | b | a | b | a | b |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 1.21 | 1.40 | 1.34 | 1.49 | 1.32 | 1.43 | 1.29 | 1.48 |
| OH | 3.46 | 2.57 | 3.27 | 2.67 | 3.65 | 3.62 | 3.53 | 2.63 |
| NH | 6.09 | 6.15 | 6.02 | 5.91 | 6.07 | 5.92 | 6.15 | 5.87 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 20.45 | 18.11 | 20.48 | 18.99 | 19.53 | 17.40 | 19.56 | 17.01 |
| $\alpha-\mathrm{C}$ | 64.82 | 64.62 | 64.63 | 63.60 | 65.57 | 65.44 | 64.91 | 64.27 |
| $\beta$-C | 79.18 | 77.71 | 79.82 | 78.64 | 79.98 | 79.00 | 77.44 | 75.16 |
| $\gamma$-C | 76.35 | 76.41 | 70.90 | 69.40 | 77.15 | 77.09 | 75.47 | 172.21 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 171.49 | 172.17 | 172.52 | 172.69 | 170.64 | 171.40 | 171.97 | 172.21 |

## Conclusion

In summary, we have shown that aldol reactions of tosylated amino acid ester enolates with chiral aldehydes give rise to polyhydroxylated amino acid esters in a straightforward and highly stereoselective fashion. $\alpha$-Alkylated pipecolinic acid esters can be obtained via cydization under Mitsunobu conditions. In these derivatives, strong interactions between the tosyl protecting group and substituents at the $\alpha$-position are observed. The question if these sterical interactions can be used as stereocontrolling elements is currently under investigation.

## Experimental Section

General Procedure. General procedures and methods for characterization have been described previously. ${ }^{18} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (if not noted otherwise) on a Bruker AC-200 or AC-300 spectrometer, respectively. Diastereomeric ratios were determined by analytical HPLC using a K nauer Eurosphere column ( $250 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$, Si80, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, flow; $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) or a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column. Optical rotations were measured using a Perkin-EImer 241 pol arimeter. The aldehydes used in the aldol reactions were prepared according to the literature.

X-ray Crystal and Intensity Data. A colorless crystal of size $0.5 \times 0.46 \times 0.35 \mathrm{~mm}$ of compound 12a was mounted and aligned on a Siemens CCD diffractometer. Crystal data: orthorhombic; $a=11.1402(2) \AA$ A , $b=11.4909(2) ~ A ̊, ~ c=18.0835(4) ~ A ̀ ; ~$ space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}, \mathrm{~V}=2314.9$ (1) $\AA^{3}, \mathrm{Z}=4$. Intensity data in the $2 \theta$ range $4.20-51.02^{\circ}$ were collected using the $\omega$ scan with Mo K $\alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ). A total of 3882 reflections were collected, of which 3704 have shown $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$.

All crystallographic calculations were carried out with the aid of the Siemens SHELXTL program package. The positional and anisotropic thermal parameters were refined for all nonhydrogen atoms. The hydrogens were refined isotropically. For the observed data final $\mathrm{R}=2.47 \%, \operatorname{Rw}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)=6.0 \%$, goodness of fit $=1.05$.
( $\pm$ )-tert-Butyl 2-[(4-toluenesulfonyl)amino]propanoate (5). A solution of ( $\pm$ )-tert-butyl 2-[(4-benzyloxycarbonyl)amino]propanoate ${ }^{19}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF was hydrogenated in the presence of 70 mg of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The mixture was filtered, and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ was added, followed by 4-toluenesulfonyl chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 h , the mixture was washed with 1 N HCl and saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated. Flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate 8:2, 7:3) yielded 4.4 g (81\%) of a colorless solid, which was crystallized from dichloromethane/hexanes: $\mathrm{mp} 88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.27$ $(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=8.6$, $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.72 (d, J = $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 20.07,21.43,27.65,51.97$, 82.34, 127.27, 129.62, 137.00, 143.48, 171.30. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (299.39): C, 56.16; H, 7.07; N, 4.68. Found: C, 56.24; H, 7.06; N, 4.61.
(19) M ori, M.; Chiba, K.; Okita, M.; Kayo, I.; Ban, Y. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 375.

General Procedure for Aldol Reactions. In a typical experiment, a solution of 1 mmol of LDA in 2 mL of anhydrous THF was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon to a solution of 0.4 mmol of N -tosylated amino acid ester and $190 \mathrm{mg}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ in 2 mL of THF. In general, a clear orange solution was formed. After 10 min , a solution of 0.48 mmol of the aldehyde in 1 mL of THF was added. After 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was quenched by adding phosphate buffer pH 7 . The mixture was diluted with diethyl ether and was allowed to warm tort. After filtration of the mixture through a pad of Celite, the aqueous phase was extracted twice with diethyl ether. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated. The crude aldol product was purified by flash chromatography.
tert-Butyl 4-(Benzyloxy)-3-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-[(4-toluenesulfonyl)amino] pentanoate (7). According to the general procedure, the aldol reaction of N -(4-toluenesulfonyl) alaninetertbutyl ester (5) ( $268 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with aldehyde $\mathbf{1}^{120}$ ( 158 mg , 0.96 mmol ) yielded after flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate 8:2) a mixture of the two major diastereomers (7a and 7b) ( $328 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ), which could be separated by flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 85:15).

7a: colorless solid, which was crystallized from dichloromethane/hexanes; $\mathrm{mp} 83-84^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]+51.1\left(\mathrm{c}=0.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41$ (d, J = $11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 17.22$, 20.45, 21.50, 27.59, 64.82, 71.57, 76.35, 79.18, 82.95, 126.73, 127.77, 128.23, 128.93, 129.67, 137.91, 139.95, 143.31, 171.49; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{SNa}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}\right.$ ] 486.1926, found 486.1900. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ (463.59): $\mathrm{C}, 62.17$; H, 7.18; N, 3.02. Found: C, 62.38; H, 7.12; N, 2.90.

7b: colorless oil; $[\alpha]+24.1$ ( $c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.28$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.57$ (bs, 1H), 3.60-3.70 (m, 2H), $4.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-$ $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 16.07,18.11$, 21.45, 27.79, 64.62, 70.78, 76.41, 77.71, 83.04, 127.03, 127.88, 128.00, 128.50, 129.37, 137.57, 140.04, 142.93, 172.17; HRMS (FAB) cal cd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right] 464.2106$, found 464.2137.

Benzyl 4-0-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-3-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-[(4-toluenesulfonyl)amino]pentanoate (8). According to the general procedure, the aldol reaction of N -(4toluenesulfonyl)alanine benzyl ester (6) ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with aldehyde $\mathbf{2}^{21}(106 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ yiel ded $8(167 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$. The diastereomers were separated by flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate 85:15)

8a: col orless oil; $[\alpha]+69.6$ (c = 1.9, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.12$, $014(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.6,8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 5.17 (d, J $=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$, $7.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-4.44$, -3.77, 18.11, 20.48, 21.50, 21.62, 26.11, 64.63, 67.76, 70.90, 79.82, 126.91, 127.97, 128.49, 128.60, 129.62, 135.07, 139.73, 143.37, 172.52; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{SSi}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ 522.2346, found 522.2301.

8b: colorless oil; $[\alpha]+14.5\left(\mathrm{c}=0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 0.03$, $0.05(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.3,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta-4.76$, -4.40, 17.84, 17.98, 18.99, 21.50, 25.80, 63.60, 67.61, 69.40, 78.64, 127.22, 128.04, 128.53, 129.40, 129.68, 135.32, 139.56, 143.05, 172.69; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{SSi}^{[ } \mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right]$ 522.2346, found 522.2324.
tert-Butyl 6-0-(Benzyloxy)-4,5-(isopropylidenedioxy)-3-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-[(4-toluenesulfonyl)amino]hexan-

[^3]oate (9). According to the general procedure, the aldol reaction of N -(4-toluenesulfonyl )alanine tert-butyl ester (5) ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ with aldehyde $3^{22}(240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ afforded 292 mg (66\%) of 9a and 82 mg (19\%) of 9b after flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate (1) 9:1, (2) 8:2, (3) 7:3).

9a: crystallization from dichloromethane/hexanes; mp 115$117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]-32.7\left(\mathrm{c}=0.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.32,1.36,1.38$ (3s, 9H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 2.41 (s, 3H), $3.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.66 (dd, J $=10.1,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.1,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.85 (dd, J $=10.2,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97$ (dd, J $=9.1,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.20 (ddd, J $=7.7,6.0,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.62 (s, 2H), 6.07 (s, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.31-7.36$ (m, 5H), 7.76 (d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ 19.53, 21.49, 26.81, 26.91, 27.79, 65.57, 71.03, 73.59, 77.05, 77.10, 79.98, 83.26, 109.78, 126.78, 127.66, 127.81, 128.39, 129.60, 137.83, 139.73, 143.36, 170.64; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right] 550.2475$, found 550.2493. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{~S}$ (549.68): C, 61.18; H, 7.15; N, 2.55. Found: C, 60.90; H, 7.02; N, 2.44.

9b: colorless oil; $[\alpha]-11.6$ (c $=0.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.34$, $1.38(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53$ (dd, J $=9.3,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.4,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.95 (dd, J $=8.1,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.11 (ddd, J $=7.4,6.6,4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.92 (s, 1H), 7.22-7.34 (m, 7H), 7.76 (d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ 17.40, 21.44, 26.70, 27.78, 65.44, 70.38, 73.82, 77.09, 78.59, 79.00, 82.75, 109.91, 127.18, 127.97, 128.08, 128.57, 129.35, 136.94, 140.01, 142.93, 171.40; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{SNa}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}\right.$ ] 572.2294, found 572.2320.

Benzyl 4,5-(sopropylidenedioxy)-3-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-[(4-toluenesulfonyl)amino]pentanoate (10). According to the general procedure, the aldol reaction of N -(4-toluenesulfonyl)alanine benzyl ester (6) ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with aldehyde $4^{23}(104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided $135 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$ of 10a and 27 mg (14\%) of 10b after flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate (1) 8:2, (2) 7:3).

10a: crystallization from dichloromethane/hexanes; mp 90$91^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]-67.7\left(\mathrm{c}=0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.28,1.29,1.33$ (3s, $9 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (dd, J = 11.7, $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.24 (ddd, J $=8.6,6.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97$ (d, J $=12.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, 7H ), 7.73 (d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 19.56,21.53,24.87$, 26.24, 64.91, 67.78, 68.13, 75.47, 77.44, 109.73, 126.79, 127.96, 128.60, 128.69, 129.74, 134.66, 139.33, 143.61, 171.97. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}(463.55)$ : C, 59.60; H, 6.31; $\mathrm{N}, 3.02$. Found: C, 59.55; H, 6.29; N, 2.96.

10b: crystallization from dichloromethane/hexanes; mp 115$116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]-16.4\left(\mathrm{c}=0.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \delta 1.27,1.36,1.48$ $(3 \mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.1$, $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-$ $7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 17.01,21.53$, 25.34, 26.36, 64.27, 66.19, 67.80, 75.16, 76.29, 108.19, 127.21, 128.05, 128.45, 128.58, 129.52, 135.01, 139.01, 143.40, 172.21. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$ (463.55): C, 59.60; H, 6.31; N, 3.02. Found: C, 59.71; H, 6.39; N, 2.97.
(2R,3S,4S,5S)-tert-Butyl 4,5-(sopropylidendioxy)-3,6-di-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-[(4-toluenesulfonyl)amino]hexanoate (11a). A solution of 9 a ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol was hydrogenated in the presence of 50 mg of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred for 24 h , the mixture was filtered and the solvent was evaporated, giving rise to a col orless sol id ( $784 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ), which was crystallized from dichloromethane/hexanes: mp 171-172 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]-36.4$ (c = 0.6, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33$, $1.36(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.79(\mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=11.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~J}=11.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-4.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.75 (d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta$ 19.80, 21.53, 26.76, 26.90, 27.79, 63.12, 65.39, 76.49, 78.06, 81.35, 83.61, 109.34, 126.70, 129.72, 139.45, 143.59, 170.50. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{~S}$ (459.56): C, 54.88; H, 7.24; N, 3.05. Found: C, 54.88; H, 7.27; N, 2.87.
(23) Mulzer, J. In Organic Synthesis Highlights; Mulzer, J., Altenbach, H.-J ., Braun, M., Krohn, K., Reissig, H.-U., Eds.; VCH: Weinheim, 1991; p 243.
(2S,3S,4S,5S)-tert-Butyl 4,5-(I sopropylidendioxy)-3,6-di-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-[(4-toluenesulfonyl)amino]hexanoate (11b). According to the synthesis of 11a, 317 mg ( 0.58 mmol ) of $\mathbf{9 b}$ was hydrogenated to yield 260 mg (98\%) of a colorless oil: $[\alpha]-13.3$ (c = $0.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.37,1.40(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.5,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.07(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26$ (d, J = $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.76 (d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 17.46$, 21.49, 26.74, 26.85, 27.76, 62.80, 65.13, 77.00, 78.67, 80.51, 83.44, 109.74, 127.42, 129.48, 139.68, 143.22, 171.73; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \mathrm{~S}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right] 460.2005$, found 460.2038.
( $2 \mathrm{R}, 3 \mathrm{~S}, 4 \mathrm{R}, 5 \mathrm{~S}$ )-2-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)-4,5-(isopropyli-dendioxy)-3-hydroxy-2-methyl-1-(4-toluenesulfonyl)piperidine (12a). To a solution of $11 \mathbf{1}$ ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 mL of THF was added $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt before DEAD ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise as slowly as possible. After complete addition, the mixture was stirred for 30 min and the solvent was evaporated. The residue obtained was stirred with hexanes/ethyl acetate 9:1 and filtered. After flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate 8:2, 7:3), 146 mg (92\%) of a colorless solid was obtained. Crystallization from dichloromethane/hexanes afforded col orless rhombes: mp 126$128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]+42.6\left(\mathrm{c}=1.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.41,1.45(2 \mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29$ (dd, J = 11.8, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (dd, J = 9.6, $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.90 (ddd, J = 11.0, 9.6, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.39 (d, J $=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49$ (dd, J $=12.1,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 21.45,22.10,26.52$, $26.72,27.74,49.14,69.16,69.34,71.92,79.39,83.19,110.98$, 126.81, 129.44, 139.89, 143.14, 170.72; HRMS (FAB) calcd for
$\left.\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right] 442.1900$, found 442.1890. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$ (441.54): C, 57.13; H, 7.08; N, 3.17. Found: C, 57.18; H, 7.04; N, 3.10.
(2S,3S,4R,5S)-2-(tert-Butyloxycarbonyl)-4,5-(isopropyli-dendioxy)-3-hydroxy-2-methyl-1-(4-toluenesulfonyl)piperidine (12b). According to the synthesis of 12a, 260 mg ( 0.56 $\mathrm{mmol})$ of 11b was reacted with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(224 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DEAD ( $124 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After flash chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate 8:2, 7:3), a colorless oil ( $186 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) was obtained: $[\alpha]+32.1$ (c = 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 1.39,1.42$ ( 2 s , 6 H ), $1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.3$, $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.8,4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64$ (dd, J = 9.3, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.96 (ddd, J = 11.3, 9.3, $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$ (d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 20.15,21.30,26.30$, 26.58, 27.56, 46.64, 67.40, 68.75, 72.02, 78.05, 83.29, 111.49, 128.16, 129.25, 135.98, 143.69, 169.67; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{SNa}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}\right.$ 464.1719, found 464.1718.
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